

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,039	03/24/2004	Gary A. Pulyk	LAMA122634	5777
26389	7590 11/07/2005		EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN, O'CONNOR, JOHNSON, KINDNESS, PLLC			DUVERNE, JEAN F	
1420 FIFTH A SUITE 2800	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•••	VA 98101-2347		2839	
			DATE MAIL ED. 11/07/200	•

DATE MAILED: 11/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			LA -			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/809,039	PULYK, GARY A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	 			
	Jean F. Duverne	2839				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	;			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a prior will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commun BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	5 August 2005.		0			
,_						
3) Since this application is in condition for allo			its is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.	1				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to			40441)			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	е			
application from the International Bur		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
A President Control of Table						

Application/Control Number: 10/809,039 Page 2

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over .

 Sheurich (US005721934A) or Medendorp (US005157273A).

Sheurich's device discloses an electric power bar at 300 comprising:

a body; an electrical cord at 498 adapted to plug the body into an electrical outlet of a
building; the body having at least one female receptacle at 496 which receives or
distribute power via the electrical cord the female receptacle being adapted to receive a
plug from one of a computer or ancillary equipment whereby power is supplied to the
computer or the ancillary equipment; the body having a communications module at 348,
342, 346, 352' and 340', whereby the communications module serves as a conduit for
sending and receiving messages; and the body having at least one of an Ethemet
receptacle, an universal serial bus receptacle, or a telephone jack receptacle in
communication in the communications module, whereby a computer is connected to the
communications module; wherein the communications module has a phone line in
receptacle at and a phone line out receptacle; wherein the body has an Ethernet
receptacle, an universal serial bus receptacle, and a telephone jack receptacle all in

Application/Control Number: 10/809,039

Art Unit: 2839

communications module, whereby a computer is connected to the communications module; wherein the communications module has a phone line in receptacle at 53, 54 and a phone line out receptacle; wherein the body has an Ethernet receptacle, an universal serial bus receptacle, and a telephone jack receptacle all in commtmication with the communications module, whereby a computer can be connected in one of several different ways to the communications module; wherein the communications module comprises a replaceable modem at the phone line with LAN network connection (see fig. 9). However, Medendorp's device fails to explicitly disclose the power bar being made integrally with the modem. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the power strip with a built-ins modern instead of being separate, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. However V. Detroit Stove Works, 105 U. S. 164 (1893). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the power strip with a built-ins modem instead of being separate in

Page 4

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's arguments filed with the amendment on 8/15/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The claims do not define structural structure features that distinguish over prior art: For example the power strip with the

order to improve the internet connection in Medendorp's device.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,039

Art Unit: 2839

commtmication with the communications module such as modem connection, whereby a computer can be connected in one of several different ways to the communications module; wherein the communications module comprises a replaceable modem at the phone line with LAN network connection. However, Sheurich's device fails to explicitly disclose the power bar being made integrally with the modem. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the power strip with a built-ins modem instead of being separate, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. However V. Detroit Stove Works, 105 U. S. 164 (1893). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the power strip with a built-ins modem instead of being separate in order to improve the internet connection in Sheurich's device.

Medendorp's device discloses an electric power bar (see figs. 1-5 or abstract), comprising:

a body; an electrical cord at 44 adapted to plug the body into an electrical outlet of a building; the body having at least one female receptacle at 50 which receives or distribute power via the electrical cord the female receptacle being adapted to receive a plug from one of a computer or ancillary equipment whereby power is supplied to the computer or the ancillary equipment; the body having a communications module at 53, 54, whereby the communications module serves as a conduit for sending and receiving messages; and the body having at least one of an Ethemet receptacle, an universal serial bus receptacle, or a telephone jack receptacle in communication in the

Art Unit: 2839

power and network communication is shown in both Medendorp's and Sheurich's devices. Having an outer or inner built modem is an obvious variation as shown above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP. 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean F. Duverne whose telephone number is (571) 272-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-7:30, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2839

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JFD

10/24/2005

Jean Frantz Duverne Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2839